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# Introducción

## Propósito

Asegurar la calidad de los artefactos de REQ REA-02 Realización de Caso de Uso: Ingresar asistencia

## Alcance

El presente documento cubre los artefactos desarrollados en la etapa de análisis y toma de requerimientos del sistema Face Attendance en su totalidad.

## 

## Definiciones, Acrónimos, y Abreviaturas

* + 1. FA: Face Attendance
    2. AI: Inteligencia Artificial
    3. DI: Documento de Identificación

## Referencias

# Reporte de la Revisión

| **Registro de Revisión para Face Attendance** | | | |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | |
| **Información General** | | | | |
| **Artefactos Revisados:**   * REQ REA-02 * REQ-02 | | **Objetivos de la Revisión:**   * Encontrar defectos en los artefactos de forma temprana | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Participantes** | | | | |
| **Nombre** | **Rol** | | | |
| Kristhyan A. Kurt Lazarte Zubia | Revisor | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Horario** | | | | |
| **Publicación de artefactos** | | | | |
| REQ REA-02 | | | **Fecha:** 03/05/2024 | |
| REQ-02 | | | **Fecha:** 03/05/2024 | |
|  | | | | |
| **Problemas identificados** | | | | |
| Se encontró que en todos los diagramas de secuencia del artefacto de realización de caso de uso, existe un objeto o actor llamado FA FontEnd, siendo lo correcto FA FrontEnd | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Recomendaciones** | | | | |
| Revisar la redacción y nomenclatura de los elementos de los diagramas de secuencia, así como de todo el documento en general | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Acciones a seguir** | | | | |
| Actualizar todos los diagramas se secuencia donde el objeto o actor sea FA FrontEnd | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Asuntos para la Consideración del Project Manager** | | | | |
| Ninguna | | | | |
|  | | | | |

*[The following is a brief outline on the review record report]*

***Artifacts Reviewed and Objectives of the Review***

*List the artifacts that will be the subject of this review and describe the objectives of the review.*

***Review Participants***

*List the individuals who will participate in the review and their roles during the meeting; for example, moderator, note-taker, reviewer, and author.*

***Schedule***

*Include the publication schedule for the review artifacts.*

***Problems Identified and Recommendations for Resolution***

*List any problems identified during the review. Reviewers may identify:*

*Problems with the review artifacts that require correction; that is, defects*

*Project problems whose symptoms are identified from the review artifacts*

*Product problems whose symptoms are identified from the review artifacts*

*The review team may make recommendations on problem resolution.*

***Action Item Status***

*List any action items resulting from the review; these should be listed with an identified owner (responsible for action completion) and target date. These will typically be action items intended to correct the problems identified. Action items may include:*

***Continue work:*** *Artifact is not considered complete and development work should continue*

***Raise Work Orders****: If problem requires new work to be planned*

***Raise Change Requests:*** *If problem requires change to artifacts*

***Issues for Consideration by the Project Manager***

*Certain problems or anomalies may be discovered for which a course of action cannot be agreed on by the review team, and which needs to be escalated for resolution.*